Contiguous $3d-$ and $4f-$magnetism: towards strongly correlated $3d-$electrons in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$

P. Khuntia*,[1] P. Peratheepan,[2,3] A. Strydom,[1,2] F. Steglich,[1] and M. Baenitz[1]

[1]*Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, 01187 Dresden, Germany*
[2]*Physics Department, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa*
[3]*Department of Physics, Eastern University, Vantharumoolai, Chenkalady 30350, Sri Lanka*

(Date textdate; Received textdate; Revised textdate; Accepted textdate; Published textdate)

Abstract

We present magnetization, specific heat, and NMR investigations on $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ over a wide range in temperature and magnetic field. The magnetic susceptibility at low temperatures is strongly enhanced in weak magnetic fields accompanied by a $-\ln T$ divergence of the low$-T$ specific heat coefficient in zero field, which allows to attribute a ground state of correlated electrons. The system displays valence fluctuating behavior in the low to intermediate temperature range, whereas above 400 K, Yb^{3+} carries a full and stable moment and Fe carries a moment of about 3.1 μ_B. The enhanced value of the Sommerfeld Wilson ratio and the dynamic scaling of spin lattice relaxation rate by T $[^{27}(1/T_1T)]$ with static susceptibility suggest the presence of ferromagnetic correlations. $^{27}(1/T_1T)$ simultaneously tracks the valence fluctuations from the 4*f* -Yb ions in the high *T* range and field dependent Kondo-like correlations among small Fe 3*d* moments (0.5 μ_B) at low T which evolve out of an Yb 4*f* admixed conduction band.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a, 74.40.Kb, 76.60.-k, 76.60.Es, 71.10.Hf

arXiv:1402.4701v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 5 Mar 2014

Novel phases ranging from unconventional superconductivity and spin liquids, to quantum criticality in correlated electrons result from competing interactions between magnetic, charge, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom [1, 2]. Competing interactions such as the mostly antiferromagnetic (AFM) Rudermann-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) exchange and the Kondo effect on a localized spin leads to a magnetic instability which generates unusual temperature (T) and magnetic field (H) scaling behavior of bulk and microscopic observables. The competing magnetic interactions frequently produce generalized non-Fermi liquid (nFL) scaling in the thermal behavior of physical properties. If the RKKY spin exchange succeeds in overcoming the thermal energy of the spin system conducive to a paramagnetic-to-AFM transition, the addition of a competing Kondo spin exchange with the conduction electrons achieves a curbing effect on the phase transition. Moreover, under favorable conditions such as applied pressure or magnetic field the phase transition may become confined to temperatures arbitrarily close to zero, which in turn leads to remarkable thermal scaling in the realm of quantum criticality[3–7]. In exceptional cases quantum criticality presents itself under ambient conditions, such as in U_2Pt_2In [8, 9] or in the superconductor $\beta-YbAlB_4$ [10]. Quantum criticality stemming from ferromagnetic exchange on the other hand is a rare occurrence, and has been discussed among $5f-$electron systems such as UGe_2 [11, 12] or UCoGe[13, 14], $4f$ systems like $YbNi_4P_2$ [15, 16], Ce($Ru_{1-x}Fe_x$)PO[17, 18], and in weak itinerant ferromagnets like $ZrZn_2$ [19] and $NbFe_2$ [20]. YFe_2Al_{10}, an isostructural version of $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ with no $4f-$electrons, is reported to be a plausible candidate for a FM quantum critical magnet[21–24, 30].

The ternary orthorhombic aluminides of RT_2Al_{10} type (R =rare earth element, T =Fe, Ru, Os) have been the subject of considerable debate in view of a fascinating conundrum of physical properties. Most notable is the extremes of magnetic interactions found in the Ce series ranging from unpredictably high AFM order at 27 K in $CeRu_2Al_{10}$ [25–28] to the Kondo insulating state in $CeFe_2Al_{10}$ [29]. In the present study to further unravel the nature of $3d-$electrons in this class of material, we assess the response of Fe-based magnetism in the presence of localized magnetism, namely the $4f^{13}$ element Yb, and we use a combination of bulk and microscopic probes due to the anticipated complexity of an admixture of different types of magnetic exchange. A comparable situation can be found in $CeFe_2Al_{10}$ in which the confluence of the two types of magnetic species has the surprising effect of producing the non-magnetic Kondo insulating state [29], which is an extreme case of local-moment hybridization with conduction electrons. Recently, there has been a resurgence of research activities in intermediate valence systems following the discovery of superconductivity and quantum critical behavior in a mixed valence heavy fermion $\beta-YbAlB_4$[10, 31–38].

In this Letter, we present a comprehensive magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, and ^{27}Al NMR investigations on polycrystalline $YbFe_2Al_{10}$. Magnetic susceptibility and specific heat display low temperature divergences, yet without any signature of magnetic ordering down to 0.35 K. In order to understand the low energy spin dynamics governing the underlying magnetism of the title compound, we have carried out NMR investigations with special attention to the spin lattice relaxation measurements. The low field spin lattice relaxation rate shows a divergence towards low temperatures, which is consistent with magnetization and specific heat data. The observed deviations

from the FL behavior is associated with correlated $3d$ Fe moments strongly coupled via Yb $4f$ derived conduction band.

Polycrystalline samples of $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ have been sythesized following a method discussed elsewhere[22, 27]. The *dc* magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ (=M/H) and thermopower date were obtained using a QD PPMS.

In a recent work, a Kondo-like electrical resistivity accompanied by divergences in $\chi(T)$ and the Sommerfeld coefficient in zero field were reported [23] on $YbFe_2Al_{10}$. The magnetism of Yb in this compound was demonstrated [39] to be subject to an unstable valence and to recover its full trivalent state at $T > 400$ K, which is in agreement with the earlier report [40].

Shown in Fig. 1 (a) is the field dependent $\chi(T)$ of $YbFe_2Al_{10}$. The values of $\chi(T)$ are enhanced by one order of magnitude in comparison with the non-$4f$ electron homologue YFe_2Al_{10} [21], which indicates a strong hybridization of Yb $4f$ states with the conduction electron states. A modified band structure is expected therefore with subsequent effects on the $3d$ magnetism of Fe which are, in contrast to Yb, confined almost entirely to the bands of conduction electrons. Towards higher temperatures, Yb tends to reach its full trivalent state and this temperature-driven evolution is appropriately reflected in the thermopower $S(T)$ illustrated in Fig. 1(d), at a broad peak centered at T* which we use to denote the temperature scale of the valence conversion of Yb. $S(T)$ is a very sensitive probe for changes of the electronic density of states at the Fermi energy. Such a peak is typical for intermediate valent (IV) Yb compounds. At the high temperature end, the consequence of this peak is played out by a change in the sign of $S(T)$ at about 300 K, which implies a temperature-driven change in the relative weights and participation of both holes and electrons in the underlying bandstructure. However, the negative sign in $S(T)$ of $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ signals stable and local-moment magnetic character of Yb above 300 K, because *S*(*T*) native to the weakly hybridized $4f^{13+\delta}$ state of Yb is expected to be negative [41]. Positive *S*(*T*) values in correlated Yb compounds may be found in cases where severe hybridization with conduction electrons drives the Yb into the near-divalent, nonmagnetic state. Such behavior can be found for example in $YbFe_4Sb_{12}$ [42], even in the temperature range where Yb carries $\simeq 75\%$ of its full moment [43]. A positive *S*(*T*) may also result when a weak negative signal from Yb hybridized magnetism is masked by a stronger positive band contribution, such as was demonstrated [44] for $YbPdCu_4$ when compared to the overall negative $S(T)$ of its homologues $YbAuCu_4$ and $YbAgCu_4$, while an underlying semi-metallic lattice may also conceal Kondo type hybridization in *S*(*T*)[45]. However, the observed trend seems to be that hybridization effects on Yb^{3+} when band electrons play a minor role such as in YbB_{12} for example are manifest in a negative $S(T)$[46].

A small upturn in S(*T*) below 10 K is consistent with the incoherent Kondo like restistivity $\rho(T)$[23]. A peak in resistivity $\rho(T)$ at $T \simeq 4.5$ K (see supplemental) is reminiscent of Kondo-lattice behavior[23].The Kondo type upturn in $\rho(T)$ as well as the low$-T$ divergence in $\chi(T)$ is quenched by applying magnetic fields of a few teslas. However, the initial susceptibility $\chi(H \rightarrow 0)$ at 2 K as well as the high-field magnetization $M(H)$ yield extremely small values of the magnetic moment ($\mu_{3d} \simeq$0.5 μ_B) in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$. Following a weak curvature in the M(*H*) in low fields, there is however no saturation achieved in $M(H)$ at 2 K even up to 7 T , Fig. 1(c), where a quasi-linear in field magnetization is found. The high-field magnetic susceptibility is thus both field- and T independent, from Fig. 1(c) and (a), respectively, and this is the susceptibility response composed of quenched 3*d* correlations plus the Pauli spin susceptibility of the conduction electrons. To put this in context, we draw a comparison with the susceptibility of $YbRu_2Al_{10}$[47] in which there are $4f-$ electrons of Yb as the sole magnetic species and no unpaired $d-$electrons. This compound achieves a $\chi(T)$ value only about one half the value obtained for the Fe-containing compound $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ in comparable conditions.



FIG. 1: (Color online) Temperature dependence of χ in various applied magnetic fields (b) $1/\chi$ *vs.* T at 0.1 T with Curie-Weiss fits. (c) Magnetization isotherm at 2 K (d) T dependence of thermopower.

A detailed analysis of $\chi(T)$ (see Fig 1b) reveals an intermediate valence (IV) state of Yb at low and intermediate *T*, but Yb recovers its full moment with a high spin state Fe at T>400 K with predominant AFM correlations at high *T*. This could be ascribed to the role of Fe (3.1μ_B) in addition to Yb (4.54 μ_B) on the underlying magnetism of this system since Yb is in the IV state (see supplemental) at high *T*. A similar scenario has been

discussed in the IV Yb-based skutterudites $YbFe_4Sb_{12}$ [48] and $YbFe_4P_{12}$[49]. The deconvolution of the Fe$-3d$ contribution and the more localizedYb$-4f$ contribution is a daunting task and beyond the scope of this manuscript. Nonetheless, based on the model of Rajan[50], for the Yb$-4f$ part a constant and T-independent susceptibility could be expected towards low temperatures. Therefore, based upon interpretation of the magnetism in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ we assign the low T divergences in this compound to correlations among small Fe$-3d$ moments.

Shown in Fig.2(a) is the specific heat coefficient ($C_p(T)/T$) in different magnetic fields measured using ^{3}He option of QD PPMS. The value of C_p/T is enhanced towards low temperatures and follows a $-\ln T$ behavior in zero field, which suggests a correlated behavior of electrons. This may be attributed to entropy of unquenched spin degrees of freedom, or to impending cooperative behavior at much lower temperatures. Applied magnetic fields achieve a suppression and eventual saturation into a constant value of C_p/T and thus the recovery of the Fermi liquid ground state. The ratio of the enhanced γ_0 value at zero field to the fully quenched value γ_H in 9 T at 0.35 K is about 2.5. Surprisingly, this enhancement factor is qualitatively similar to that of the non-$4f$ compound YFe_2Al_{10} [39]. Despite the fact that the relative enhancements $\Delta\gamma/\gamma_H = (\gamma_0 - \gamma_H)/\gamma_H$ are similar, it should be mentioned that the T dependence of C_p/T are dissimilar (-ln$T$ for $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ and power law behavior in case of YFe_2Al_{10}). For $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ the magnetic entropy at low T amounts to only $0.063R\ln 8$, or $\sim 6.3\%$ of the $J = 7/2$ multiplet value appropriate for Yb[51]. Therefore, we relate the low temperature divergence of the Sommerfeld coefficient to the emergence of correlations among Fe moments amplified by the strong hybridization between Yb$-4f$ states and $s+d$ conduction band states at the Fermi level. The field dependence of the Sommerfeld coefficient at 0.5 K follows a $H^{-0.35}$ behavior (Fig. 2b) and the transition to a constant in T regime provides the crossover scale between FL and nFL behavior (inset of Fig. 2b).

The residual quenched Sommerfeld coefficient of γ_H = 75 mJ/mol K^2 in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ exceeds that of the La equivalent [29] by a factor ~ 3, which indicates that the Fermi level in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ is occupied predominantly by the electrons of a heavy Fermi liquid. An enhanced value of the Sommerfeld-Wilson ratio $R_W = \pi^2 k_B^2/\mu_0\mu_{eff}(\chi/\gamma) \approx 12$ at 2 K indicates the presence of FM correlations, which is in contrast to the high T regime. It is also important to mention that there is a striking similarity of our specific heat data in Fig. 2a to those of $\beta-YbAlB_4$ [10]. $\beta-YbAlB_4$ is a rare example of an IV system with local moment low T electron correlations[37]. Another prominent example in that context is the IV system $YbAl_3$[52].

^{27}Al-NMR ($I = 5/2$) measurements have been performed using a standard *Tecmag* NMR spectrometer in the



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Temperature dependence of $C_P(T)/T$ in different magnetic fields. The solid line is a fit to $-\ln T$. (b) $C_P(T)/T$ *vs.* $\mu_0 H$ at 0.5 and 2 K, with solid line is a fit to $H^{-0.35}$. The inset shows the field dependence of the cross over temperature (to FL behavior) obtained from $C_P(T)/T$.

temperature range $1.8 \leq T \leq 300$ K and in the field range $0.98 \leq \mu_0 H \leq 7.27$ T. The orthorhombic crystal structure of $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ hosts five inequivalent Al sites. Usually this results in rather broad NMR spectra with a clear central transition and superimposed first order satellite transitions. Surprisingly, we found a rather well-resolved central transition with a small field dependent anisotropy, which implies that the different Al sites are rather equal in their magnetic environment. There are no sharp features assigned to the first order quadrupolar transitions [39] and no appreciable shift observed in the ^{27}Al-NMR line (Fig. 3), but instead a broadening of the central transition with decreasing temperatures is found. The line width (FWHM) increases with decreasing temperature and scales with the bulk susceptibility yielding a Curie-Weiss like behavior. At the lowest T and in small magnetic fields the scaling of FWHM with $\chi(T)$ breaks down, which is in-line with the expected behavior at the onset of electronic correlations.

The sharp central transition enables us to perform ^{27}Al spin-lattice relaxation rate (SLRR) measurements consistently following saturation recovery method with suitable *rf* pulses and the resuts are shown in Fig.4. The relaxation rate divided by T, *i.e.* $1/T_1\ T$, shows a divergence towards low temperatures (Fig. 4a) with a proportionality $\chi(T)/\sqrt{T}$ in the lowest magnetic fields. Such a dynamic scaling is frequently found in heavy fermion systems with AFM correlations and even with admixed FM correlations like in CeFePO [55–57]. In addition, the relative change $[(\gamma_0 - \gamma_H)/\gamma_H]^2 = 1.7$ underestimates the SLRR enhancement found in the experiment ($\simeq 4.6$). The stronger enhancement in the SLRR points towards the presence of dominant $q = 0$ contributions, as a response to FM correlations. Usually the specific heat is more sensitive to finite q excitations which explains the difference in the enhancement factors. In contrast with the discrepancy in the T enhancement, the field dependence of the SLRR is in agreement with the Fermi liquid theory exhibit-



FIG. 3: (Color online) ^{27}Al NMR spectra at 80 MHz for different temperatures.The inset shows the simulation of the 4.3 K spectra.



FIG. 4: (Color online) (a)$^{27}(1/T_1T)$ *vs.* T in fields. The solid line is the calculated value as discussed in the text. (b) The field dependence of $^{27}(1/T_1T)$ at 2.5 K with a fit to $H^{-0.77}$.(c) The temperature dependence of τ_{4f} at 7.27 T.

ing $1/T_1\ T \sim (C/T)^2 \sim \gamma^2$ behavior. Here a power law $H^{-0.35}$ is found for the Sommerfeld coefficient which implies a power law $H^{-0.7}$ for the SLRR. This field dependence is indeed found for the SLRR at 2.5 K (see Fig. 4b with $H^{-0.77}$), which is further supported by $1/T_1\ T \sim \chi^2$ behavior commonly found in local-moment metals and is in contrast to that observed in YFe_2Al_{10} [21].
Independent of the magnetic field a peak (Fig.4a) in the SLRR at $T^*(\simeq 100$ K) signals the onset of valence fluctuations in the SLRR of the Al nuclei at high T. In general the SLRR probes the $q-$averaged low lying excitations in the spin fluctuation spectra and $1/T_1\ T$ can be expressed as; $\frac{1}{T_1T} \propto \sum_q \mid A_{hf}(q) \mid^2 \frac{\chi''(q,\omega_n)}{\omega_n}$,where $A_{hf}(q)$ is the $q-$dependent hyperfine form factor and $\chi''(q,\omega_n)$ is the imaginary part of dynamic electron spin susceptibility [53, 54]. In the presence of $q-$isotropic $4f$ fluctuations of IV Yb coexisting with $q = 0$ FM $3d$ corelations, the SLRR could be approximated by $\frac{1}{T_1T} \simeq {A_{hf}}^2 \chi_0\,[\tau_{3d} + \tau_{4f}]$

where $\tau_{4f}(= 1/\Gamma_{4f})$ is the effective fluctuation time of the $4f$ ion, $\tau_{3d}(= 1/\Gamma_{3d})$ is the effective fluctuation time of the $3d$ ion ($\Gamma_{4f,3d}$ are corresponding dynamic relaxation rates), and χ_0 is the uniform bulk suceptibility. It has to be mentioned that in case of large valence variations (like in Eu systems where the valence could vary between 2+ and 3+) the electronic structure may be perturbed which changes A_{hf}, but we omit this detail for $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ and assume that A_{hf} is not varying with temperature. The beauty of these results is that ^{27} Al NMR simultaneously senses the valence fluctuations from the 4*f*- Yb ions in the high *T* range and the low *T* field dependent Kondo-like correlations associated to the 3*d*- Fe ions. Upon the application of high magnetic fields these flctuations are quenched (here $\tau_{3d} \ll \tau_{4f}$ for all T). Therefore, the relaxation rate at 7.27 T allows for the determination of the effective fluctuation time $\tau_{4f} = 1/\Gamma_{4f}$,which is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 4(c). The step like change of τ_{4f} at about 100 K signals more a charge gap scenario (like in Kondo insulators) than an intermediate valence system with a smooth variation in τ_{4f}. With the knowledge of the T dependent (but not H-dependent) relaxation time τ_{4f}, we now proceed to fit the $1/T_1T$ vs. T results in low magnetic field. Surprisingly, the assumption of $\tau_{3d} = 1/\sqrt{T} \propto \chi$ results in a very good agreement with the experimental data (red line in Fig. 4(a)), which also explains the $^{27}(1/T_1T) \propto \chi^2$ behavior at $T \longrightarrow 0$ limit. With this approach we have convincingly shown that NMR is able to probe both energy regimes; i) the high-temperature IV regime where Γ_{4f} is changing strongly and ii) the low-T regime where Γ_{4f} is constant and Γ_{3d} shows a local moment behavior with $\Gamma_{3d} \propto \sqrt{T}$.

In summary, our comprehensive investigation provides compelling evidence for low-temperature $3d-$electron correlations evolving out of an intermediate valent Yb density of states and reveals the dual (local and itinerant) nature of Fe magnetism in $YbFe_2Al_{10}$. The spin lattice relaxation rate is in convincing agreement with the local moment metal (FL) scenario and unveils the persistence of $4f$ fluctuations above 200 K. The discrepancy between the enhancement in the specific heat coefficient and the SLRR points towards admixed $q = 0$ FM excitations at low temperatures. Surprisingly, the field dependences of the C_p/T and the SLRR are reminiscent of an AFM heavy fermion close to a QCP. Our SLRR analysis clearly points to the involvement of FM interactions in the low-temperature correlated state, but an unambigous assignment of the magnetic nature of the correlations should await a *q*-resolving

technique such as inelastic neutron scattering. It is worth to mention that $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ shares very striking similarities with the quantum critical material $\beta-YbAlB_4$. We speculate that $YbFe_2Al_{10}$ might be a "failed" Kondo insulator where the true non magnetic $4f^{14}$ state of complete electron transfer could not be maintained because of the contiguous Fe magnetism. It would be of great interest to dilute the 3*d* sublattice and tune the correlations by the isoelectronic substitution of Ru at the Fe site, which might lead to exotic phenomena.

We thank C. Geibel, A. P. Mackenzie, H. Yasuoka, M. C. Aronson, M. Brando, and M. Garst for fruitful discussions. We thank C. Klausnitzer for technical support concerning specific heat measurements. We thank the DFG for financial support (project OE-511/1-1). AMS acknowledges support from SA-NRF (78832).

*pkhuntia@gmail.com

[1] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
[2] P. Gegenwart *et al*., Nature Physics **4**, 186 (2008).
[3] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 797 (2001).
[4] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 743 (2006).
[5] H. v. Löhneysen *et al*., Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1015 (2007).
[6] M. B. Maple *et al*., J. Low. Temp. Phys. **161**, 4 (2010).
[7] Q. Si and F. Steglich, Science **329**, 1161(2010).
[8] A. M. Strydom *et al*., Physica B **223& 224**, 222 (1996).
[9] P. Estrela *et al*., Physica B **259–261**, 409 (1999).
[10] S. Nakatsuji *et al*., Nature Phys. **4**, 303 (2008).
[11] S. Saxena *et al*., Nature **406**, 587 (2000).
[12] H. Kotegawa *et al*., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **80**, 083703 (2011).
[13] E. Slooten *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 097003 (2009).
[14] T. Hattori *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 066403 (2012).
[15] R. Sarkar *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 140409(R) (2012).
[16] A. Steppke *et al*., Science **339**, 933 (2013).
[17] S. Kitagawa *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 227004 (2012).
[18] S. Kitagawa *et al*., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**,033704 (2013).
[19] R. P. Smith *et al*., Nature **455**, 1220 (2008).
[20] M. Brando *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 026401(2008).
[21] P. Khuntia *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **86**, 220401(R) (2012).
[22] A. M. Strydom *et al*., Phys. Stat. Solidi **4**, 356 (2010).
[23] A. M. Strydom *et al*., Phys. Status Solidi RRL **4**, 356 (2010).
[24] K. Park *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **84**, 094425 (2011).
[25] C. S. Lue *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **82**, 045111 (2010).
[26] S. C. Chen and C. S. Lue, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 075113 (2010).
[27] A. M. Strydom, Physica B **404**, 2981 (2009).
[28] D. D. Khalyavin *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **82**, 100405 (R) (2010).
[29] Y. Muro *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **78**, 083707 (2009).
[30] A.M.Strydom *et al*., Phys. Status Solidi B **250** 630 (2013).
[31] S. Watanabe *et al*., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **24**, 294208 (2012).
[32] D. T. Adroja *et al.*, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **100**, 126 (1991).
[33] S. Patil *et al*., Phys. Rev. B. **47**, 8794 (1993).
[34] Y. Matsumoto *et al*., Science **331**, 316 (2011).
[35] M. Okawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 247201(2010).
[36] A. H. Nevidomsky *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 077202 (2009).
[37] L. M. Holanda *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 026402 (2011).
[38] W. Schnelle *et al*., Phys. Rev. B. **77**, 094421 (2008).
[39] P. Khuntia *et al*., Phys. Status Solidi B **250**, 525 (2013).
[40] V. M. T. Thiede *et al*., J. Mater. Chem. **8**, 125 (1998).
[41] K. Behnia *et al*., J. Phys. Condens. Matter **16**, 5187 (2004).
[42] N. R. Dilley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 4608 (2000).
[43] N. R. Dilley *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **58**, 6287 (1998).
[44] R. Casanova *et al*., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **90**, 587 (1990).
[45] M. Ocko *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **68**, 075102 (2003).
[46] F. Iga *et al*., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **137**, 226 (2001).
[47] A. M. Strydom and A. Thamizhavel, unpublished.
[48] W. Schnelle *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **72**, 020402(R) (2005).
[49] A. Yamamoto *et al*., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 063703 (2006).
[50] V.T. Rajan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 308 (1983).
[51] E. S. R. Gopal, *Specific Heat at Low Temperatures* (Plenum Press, New York, 1966).
[52] U. Walter, E. Holland-Moritz and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 320 (1991).
[53] T. Moriya, *Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1985).
[54] Y. Kuramoto and Y. Kitaoka, *Dynamics of Heavy Electrons* (Oxford, 2000).
[55] D. L. Cox *et al*., J. Appl. Phys. **57**, 3166 (1985).
[56] N. Buettgen *et al*., Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5557 (1996).
[57] E. Bruening *et al*., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 117206 (2008).